169654

	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 2200 Churchill Road, Springfield, IL 62706
Am	ENDMENT#5 DEAD CREEK SAUGE LPC#16802.00005
EE	MPMC/MAILININ
DATE	SEPTEMBER 24, 1987
TO:	Contract Administrator
FROM	BILL CHILD, DIVISION MANAGER, LPC
SUBJ	ECT: Contract Information For (New Contract) (Amendment to Existing Contract)
1.	Name of Contractor ECOLOGY & ENVIRONMENT, INC
2. 3.	Contractor's Mailing Address: a. Street and City 195 SUGG ROAD P.O. Box D b. State and Zip BUFFALO, NEW YORK) 14225 FEIN/SSN (if available) -
4.	Funding Source (Circle applicable alphabetic character, i.e., a, b) and complete blanks. a. State-General Revenue 1. Account Code - 0 0 1 2. Section or Budget Code -
	b. Federal-U.S. EPA 1. Grant No. 2. Authorized by Section No. of P.L. 3. Title of Law 4. Account Code 5. Section on Rudont Code
5. 6.	Agency Contract Manager Execution Date (Proposed) 0404187
7.	Termination Date <u>02/151</u> 88
8.	Amount (Total) \$ 21,761.00 INCREASE \$1,341,685.00 TO
9.	Work to be Performed (Circle applicable alphabetic character) a. Existing contract's Work to be Performed clause is adequate. b. Copy of existing contract's Work to be Performed clause is attached with desired modifications.
10.	 c. New Work to be Performed clause is attached. Contract Period (Circle applicable alphabetic character) a. Copy of existing contract's Contract Period clause is attached with desired modifications.
11.	 b. New Contract Period clause is attached. Costs (Circle applicable alphabetic character) a. Existing contract's Costs clause is adequate. b. Copy of existing contract's Costs clause is attached with desired

modifications.

12. Other Applicable Clauses:13. Equivalent Position Title 14.

Section, Unit Telephone Number

SEPTEMBER 25, 1987

TO:

Brian Krasner, Bureau of the Budget

601 Stratton Office Building, Springfield, Illinois

FROM:

John Steller

SUBJECT: Contract Amendment - Dead Creek Sites

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED: THE SCOPE OF WORK CHANGE.

ADDED BY THIS AMENDMENT INVOLVE THE DISPOSAL

OF DRILL CUTTINGS AND WATER ACCUMULATED DURING THE

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION, THE RESAMPLING OF 4 WELL:

BECAUSE THE ORIGINALS WERE BROKEN AND THE

PERFORMANCE OF HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTS OF

AQUIPER MATERIALS AT 10 DIFFERENT WELLS.

- BENEFITS EXPECTED FROM THE CONSULTING EFFORT, I.E., TANGIBLE DOLLAR SAVINGS, OR INTANGIBLE BENEFITS FULLY EXPLAINED:
- . CONTRACTORS SUBMITTING PROPOSALS:

CONTRACTOR SELECTED:

ECOLOGY & ENVIRONMENT, INC.

. ANTICIPATED COST:

\$21,761.19 INCREASE

AGENCY CONTACT:

SHEILA FITE

11 537-0570



September 25, 1987

TO:

Division File and Jeff Larson

FROM:

John Steller

SUBJECT:

Dead Creek Site/St. Clair County

LPC #1630200005 Superfund/Fiscal

Cost Analysis - Ecology & Environment

Pursuant to 40 CFR 33.290(a) and 44 Ill. Adm. Code 550.311, I performed a cost analysis on increases to the contractually agreed to cost plus fixed fee for the Dead Creek Sites (Sauget) project resulting from Agency approved scope of work changes as set forth in the Contractor's (Ecology & Environment, Inc.) letter dated March 11, 1987 as approved and clarified by the Agency's letters dated January 8, 1987 and July 2, 1987 and the Contractor's letter dated April 8, 1987 as approved by the Agency's letter dated April 28, 1987 and the Contractor's letters dated May 8, 1987 and September 11, 1987 as approved by the Agency's letter dated May 21, 1987. In addition, I discussed the scope of work changes with the Project Manager, Jeff Larson, to ensure that additional work was necessary and authorized and that the Contractor's charges were reasonable in his opinion. It was his opinion that the work was necessary and that the costs were reasonable.

The analysis disclosed the Contractor had used the provisional FY 87 fringe (25%), overhead (65%), and S, G, & A (28%) rates instead of E. & E.'s actual FY 87 rates of fringe (.25806), overhead (.5035), and S, G. & A (.3252). This incorrect usage of rates resulted in a cost undercharge of \$322.26. In addition, the Contractor in my opinion, misapplied fee percentages. The Contractor applied a rate of 13% against labor related costs and 5% against non-labor related costs. The Contractor should have used 17% against labor, 12% against overhead, and 6% against Other Direct Cost charges. This inappropriate application of fee percentages resulted in a fee undercharge by the Contractor of \$271.13. I adjusted both the cost and fee to reflect the true calculations.

I also compared the labor rates used by the Contractor with the Contractor's contractually approved rate sheets for FY 87 to ascertain if they were correct. All rates fell within the authorized cost plus fixed fee rates.

In my opinion, the costs and fees charged by the Contractor for the scope of work changes set forth in the first paragraph above are authorized, reasonable and allowable.

THE MA	Joint S	SUBTATAL	SUBTOTAL HARMONITY TESTING	Despused of CATTAGES NATES	TASK
13 PX			24/4/2	1447 1447 1447 1447 1447 1447 1447 1447	Alman .
6			<u>M</u>	11	Son of the second
ectual)			Selvar	Janior Janior	Exology &
		:	1)		Service of the servic
			XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	E XX	Rote
RESULTANTE PRESULT	35246)sam	2018.W.	7387	Looks Cook
A CONTRACTOR	+070		943.U 146/7	23.50	Cone One
22.20	Rapes	163/9	18.788 18.788	3/203	reme the
	2620	279	SAMS ROSMIN	7304 1974	(1818) (1
Second Se	43823	2333	80/22 80/22	/5%(/ A	(Capa)
SOE OF SOME	9/48	**************************************	1424 1924 1944	142,34 142,00	M D
70 LV		2//9	2/10ac	3004	, v.
CALL	278.00	126.4	(31.50	8/8	E () ()
About 1	1990	738	1061,14	64347 116811	COST
Wice a		1603 X	755.00 270.00 270.00 270.00 270.00	17 43	2934 1924
	, 22		934d,		10 X92





August 24, 1987

TO:

John Steller

FROM:

Jeff Larson, L.A.

SUBJECT:

Justification for Amendment

L1630200005 - St. Clair Co. Sauget Sites, Sauget Superfund - Fiscal

I am notifying you of an increase in cost to the Remedial Investigation phase of the above referenced project. Alternations in the S.O.W. and L.O.E. as approved by the project manager are necessary to insure quality. The generated data has resulted in the cost increase.

An amendment is necessary to allow payment to the consultant firm of Ecology & Environment for the aforementioned work. Support information detailing costs are included in the Fiscal File and will be identified in the amendment. Please initiate action on this procedure as soon as possible.

JL:kh/1-1

Ecology and ENVIRONMENT, INC. 195 SUGG ROAD POST OFFICE BOX D BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14225

RE: Dead Creek Project, Sauget and Cahokia, Illinois (LPC# 1600200005).

1. Paragraph D(1) (See amendment of the agreement is hereby liminated and struck in its following taragraph D(1) is inserted in its place:

- 41. The Contractor shall furnish the necessary personnel, materials, services, facilities and otherwise do all things necessary for or incident to the performance of the work at the Dead Creek Project, Sauget and Cahokia, Illinois (LPC #1600200005) (hereinafter designated as "Site") as set forth in:
 - a. The Contractor's Proposal dated May 20, 1985;
 - b. The Agency's Request for Proposal dated April 3, 1985;
 - The Contractor's Revised Rate Schedule dated November 26, 1985;
 - d. The Contractor's Letter dated February 18, 1986; and
 - The Agency's letter authorizing a re-evaluation of the present Statement of Work, dated July 11, 1986;
 - f. The Contractor's Proposal to Implement a Revised Scope of Work, dated August 4, 1986 as amended by the Contractor's revised cost estimate letter, dated August 26, 1986;
 - g. The Contractor's letter, dated September 15, 1986;
 - h. The Agency's letter, dated September 16, 1986;
 - The Contractor's letter of cost comparisons, dated August 20, 1986;
 - j. The Contractor's letter, dated November 25, 1986; and

A. The Contractor's letter and sufficiently by the agency letter dated for the approved by the agence letter dated fully 3, 1987;

L. The Contractor's letter and cost proposal as amended dated april 8, 198 as approved by the agency letter dated april 28, 1987;

m. The Contractor's letters dated april 28, 1987;

m. The Contractor's letters dated april 28, 1987;

m. The Contractor's letters dated may 8, 1987 and agree to by the agency's letter dated may 21, 1987; and

n. This contract.

2, Paragraph D(2) (See amendme =4) of the agreement is herel eliminated and struck in its entirety and the follows Paragraph D(2) is inserted in its place:

2. The documents cited in Paragraph D(1)(a) through D(1)(b) are incorporated by reference into this contract and made a part hereof. If there is a conflict between the terms and provisi of this contract and the documents cited in Paragraph D(1)(a) through D(1)(b), the terms and provisions of this contract sh control. (74)

3. Paragraph E(1) (See amendment of the agreement is hereby eliminated, and struck in its entirety and the following Paragraph E(1) is inserted in its place:

1. This is a cost plus fixed fee contract with a ceiling price for all work performed under this contract of 41,313,224.00.

4,341,685.00.

4. Paragraph E(2)(a) and Paragraph E(2)(b) (See amendment #4) = of the agreement are hereby eliminated and struck in their entirety and the following talagraph E(2)(a) and takagraph E(2)(b) are inserted in their places:

> a. The contractor shall perform all work under this contract at the rates and in accordance with the Schedule of Task Completion specified in the Contractor's proposal dated May 20, 1985, as amended by those documents listed in Paragraph D(1)(c) through D(1) dehich were incorporated into this contract by Paragraph D(2).

b. The Contractor shall be reimbursed at the rates specified in the Contractor's Proposal dated May 20, 1985 as amended by those documents listed in Paragraph D(1)(b) through D(1) (contract by Paragraph D(2).

5, Parsgraph E(3)(a) and Palager E(3)(b) (See amendment "4) of the agreement are hereby eliminated and struck in their entirety and the following takagraph E(3)(a) and taragraph E(3)(b) are inserted in their places:

3. a. The cost limit for the performance of all work under this contract is 11,233,070.00. This amount is subject to change by formal contract amendment only.

SameCost 11 work under the contract amendment only.

b. The fixed fee limit for the performance of all work under this contract is \$65,955.00. The amount is subject to change only by a material change to the scope of work and by formal contract amendment.

6. All other agreements shall remain in force.

L1630200005 -- St. Clair County Sauget -- Sauget Sites Superfund -- Fiscal

SAUGET-SAUGET SITES SCHEDULE UPDATE

Federal FY87 Quarter 4 State FY88 Quarter 1

		In	vestig		Proposed	Actual	Final
Task	NO.		Descr	iption	Schedule_	Schedule	Approval
I	TASK	1	MEET	INGS			
				Initial	12/20/85	09/25/85	
			_			00/05/06	
			В.	Secondary	03/17/86	03/25/86	
II	TASK	2	WORK	PLAN			
			Α.	Investigative Support	02/17/86	08/25/86	08/25/86
			В.	Definition of Objectives & SOW	05/16/86	02/17/86	
			C.	Site Sampling Plan (SSP)	05/16/86	05/16/86	05/16/86
				 Draft a. submittal 		02/17/86	
				b. review complete			
				2. Final	05/16/86	05/16/86	05/16/86
				a. submittal b. review compl ete			
			D.	Health & Safety Plan (HSP)			
				1. Draft	00/117/06	02/17/06	
				a. submittal b. review complete	02/17/86	02/17/86	
				2. Final			
				a. submittal	05/16/86	05/16/86	
				b. review complete			05/16/86
			Ε.	Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)			
				1. Draft	02/17/06	02/17/06	
				a. submittal b. review complete	02/17/86	02/17/86	
				2. Final			
				a. submittal	05/17/86	05/17/86	
				b. review complete			05/17/86
				3. Air Monitoring Supplimental OAPP			
				a. submittal draft	08/23/87	04/23/87	
				b. review complete	04/23/87	05/29/87	
				c. final complete	05/23/87	05/29/87	05/29/87
			F.	Community Relations Plan (CRP)			
				a. submittal	02/17/86	02/17/86	
				b. review complete			

Remedial In	vestigation Description	Proposed Schedule	Actual Schedule	Final Approval
	2. Finala. submittalb. review complete G. Permitting Requirements Plan Draft	05/17/86	05/17/86	05/17/86
	a. submittalb. review complete2. Finala. submittalb. review complete			
III TASK 3	ASSOCIATED SUPPORT	01/24/86	03/25/86	03/25/86
IV TASK 4	ADDITIONAL DATA GATHERING- EXISTING DATA REVIEW & EVALUATION	01/24/86	02/22/86	02/22/86
V TASK 5	DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT SITUATION	03/14/86	07/17/86	07/17/86
VI TASK 6	INTERIM PRELIMINARY REPORT	10/15/86	10/13/86	10/15/86
PRIMARY REM	EDIAL INVESTIGATION TASKS			
VII TASK 7 (Suspended Re: Task 20-	SITE INVESTIGATION TASK 20 Addition Req	05/27/88		
26)	TASK 21 Revised Scope Development			
	A. Submittal	08/01/86	08/05/86	
	B. Review Complete	08/15/86	08/13/86	08/13/86
	TASK 22 Work Plan Revisions	03/27/87	5/06/87	5/04/87
	A. Geophysical Surveys 1. E.M. Conductivity a. field work b. evaluate data	10/02/85		
	 Magnatometry a. field work b. evaluate data 	10/15/85		
	 Seismic Refraction a. field work b. evaluate data 	10/30/85		
	B. SOILS INVESTIGATION 1. Soil Gas Testing a. field work b. evaluate data	10/21/86 11/21/86	10/21/86	
	 Surface Soil Sampling a. field work b. evaluate data 	11/10/86 12/10/86	11/10/86	

Remedial Inves Task No. De	tigation scription	Proposed Schedule	Actual Schedule	Final Approval
	 Subsurface Soil Sampling a. field work b. evaluate data 	11/17/86 12/17/86	11/17/86	
	C. WATER INVESTIGATION 1. Surface Water/Sediment Sampling a. field work b. evaluate data 2. Ground Water Sampling a. field work	11/05/86 12/05/86	12/05/86 08/15/87	
	b. evaluate data	03/02/87 04/21/87	03/02/87 08/15/87	
r	ASK 23 Water Supply Search			
	A. Residential Well Sampling1. Field Work2. Evaluate Data	05/30/87 05/30/87		
Т	ASK 24 Air Monitoring			
	A. Phase I 1. Field Work 2. Evaluate Data	04/30/87 05/30/87	7/23/87 2/23/87	
	B. Phase II (If Applicable)l. Field Work2. Evaluate Data			
Т	ASK 25 Additional Investigations	05/30/87	7/23/87	
VIII TASK 8 P	RELIMINARY REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGIES			
	A. Draft 1. Submittal 2. Review Completed	10/15/86 10/29/86	10/13/86 10/30/86	10/30/86
	B. Final1. Submittal2. Review Completed	10/15/86 10/29/86	10/13/86 10/30/86	10/30/86
	ITE INVESTIGATION ANALYSIS ASK 26 Final Report-HRS/RI			
	A. Draft 1. Submittal 2. Review Completed	09/11/87 09/30/87		
	B. Final 1. Submittal 2. Review Completed	10/30/87 11/30/87		

	estigation Description	Proposed Schedule	Actual Schedule	Final Approval
X TASK 10 (Suspended	REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT TASK 11 Additional Requirements	10/15/86		
Re: Task 11)	A. Project Reporting	Monthly		
	B. Review Meeting		9/29/87	
	C. Community Relations			

FEASIBILITY STUDY (PLACED ON HOLD - FUNDS & SCHEDULE MAY BE

Task No.	Description Helpful TO H	Proposed Schedule	Actual Schedule	Final Approval
I	TASK 12 Describe Proposed Response			
	A. Submittal	09/11/87		
	B. Review Completed			
II	TASK 13 Preliminary Remedial Technologies			
III	TASK 14 Development of Alternatives			
	A. Submittal	10/19/87		
	B. Review Complete			
IV	TASK 15 Initial Screening of Alternatives			
	A. Deliverable for DRAR Evaluation1. Submittal2. Review Complete	10/29/87		
	B. Bench Scale - Lab Studies1. Submittal2. Review Complete	11/20/87		
V	TASK 16 Detailed Evaluation of Alternatives			
	A. Submittal	12/14/87		
	B. Review Complete			
	TASK 17 Final Report-FS			
	A. Draft 1. Submittal 2. Review Complete 3. Public Meeting & Comment	01/29/88		
	B. Final1. Submittal2. Review Complete	03/15/88		

JL:11h:sp2060g/1-5

L1630200005 -- St. Clair County

SAUGET-SAUGET SITES COST UPDATE

Federal FY87 Quarter 2 State FY87 Quarter 3

Rem Task		vestigation Description	Original Proposed Cost	Actual Cost	% of Budget	±Cost
I	TASK 1	MEETINGS	4,492	5,211	116%	•(1F)
II	TASK 2	WORK PLAN A. Investigative Support	14,549	42,922	295%	(29,092
		B. Definition of Objectives & SOW				
		C. Site Sampling Plan (SSP)				
		D. Health & Safety Plan (HSP)				
		E. Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)				
		 Air Monitoring Supplimental QAPP 				
		2. Fish Flesh Supplimental QAPP				
		F. Community Relations Plan (CRP)				
		G. Permitting Requirements Plan				
III	TASK 3	ASSOCIATED SUPPORT	10,576	10,576	100%	0
IV	TASK 4	ADDITIONAL DATA GATHERING- EXISTING DATA REVIEW & EVALUATION	13,323	15,189	114%	(1866)
٧	TASK 5	DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT SITUATION	3,863	28,202	730%	(24,334)
VI	TASK 6	INTERIM PRELIMINARY REPORT	3,858	14,082	365%	(10 224

Remedial Inv	vestigation Description	Original Proposed Cost	Actual Cost	% of Budget	±Cos t
PRIMARY REME	EDIAL INVESTIGATION TASKS				
VII TASK 7	SITE INVESTIGATION	118,063	118,063	100%	6
(Suspended Re: Task	TASK 20 Add. Req. New Scope	13,918	18 862	12%	28% (449
20-26)	TASK 21 Revised Scope Development	20,000	20,000	100%	0
	TASK 22 Work Plan Revisions	18,197	15,104	83%	3093
	A. Geophysical Surveys				
	1. E.M. Conductivity				
	2. Magnatometry				
	3. Seismic Refraction				
	B. SOILS INVESTIGATION				
	1. Soil Gas Testing				
	2. Surface Soil Sampling				
	3. Subsurface Soil Sampling				
	C. WATER INVESTIGATION				
	1. Surface Water/Sediment Sampling	1			
	2. Ground Water Sampling				
•	TASK 23 Water Supply Search				
	A. Residential Well Sampling				
	TASK 24 Air Monitoring		39176	10	•/
	A. Phase I	38,757	1 0 (052	28%	(419)
	B. Phase II (If Applicable)	442908	380 901		
	TASK 25 Additional Investigations	444,093	3 81,720	86%	62,007
VIII TASK 8	PRELIMINARY REMEDIAL TECHNOLOGIES	3,979	5,253	132%	(1274)
IX TASK 9	SITE INVESTIGATION ANALYSIS		2133/	36%	-
(Suspended Re: Task 26, 27)	TASK 26 Final Report-RI	81,166	24,350	جوني الم	51,83 5

		1,145,451	1,086,642	2 -	58,784
TOTAL	remedial INV.	1,137,396	979,73 0	116.09%	•
	C. Community Relations		_		
	B. Review Meeting				
	A. Project Reporting				-
(Suspended Re: Task 11)	TASK 11 Additional Requirements	7,186	7,330	102%	(144)
X TASK 10	TASKEB Waste Deposal	9720.	461	5%	8759
	TASK 27 Analytical Services Remedial Investigation Report	341,376	775,979 262,860	9º	1% 534
PRIMARY REM	EDIAL INVESTIGATION TASKS				
Task No.	Description	Cost	Cost	Budget ±	Cost
Remedial In	vestigation	Original Proposed	Actual	% of	

* EXPENDITURES INCLUDING FEES

FEASIBILITY STUDY (PLOCED ON HOLD, FUNDS may be more usuful to completing a thorough HRS.)
Proposed Actual % of

Task No.	Description	Proposed Cost	Actual Cost	% of Budget	±Cost
I	TASK 12 Describe Proposed Response				
II	TASK 13 Preliminary Remedial Technologies				
III	TASK 14 Development of Alternatives				
IV	TASK 15 Initial Screening of Alternatives				
	A. Deliverable for DRAR Evaluation				•
	B. Bench Scale - Lab Studies				
V	TASK 16 Detailed Evaluation of Alternatives				
	TASK 17 Final Report-FS	174,443			
	Total	-102,526	•		
	Total Project	\$1,319,924			

JL:11h:sp2062g/1-4

cc: Jeff Larson John Steller Terry Ayers Division File





August 24, 1987

TO:

John Steller

FROM:

Jeff Larson, L.A.

SUBJECT:

Justification for Amendment

L1630200005 - St. Clair Co.

Sauget Sites, Sauget Superfund - Fiscal

I am notifying you of an increase in cost to the Remedial Investigation phase of the above referenced project. Alternations in the S.O.W. and L.O.E. as approved by the project manager are necessary to insure quality. The generated data has resulted in the cost increase.

An amendment is necessary to allow payment to the consultant firm of Ecology & Environment for the aforementioned work. Support information detailing costs are included in the Fiscal File and will be identified in the amendment. Please initiate action on this procedure as soon as possible.

JL:kh/1-1